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PREFACE

This document was prepared by the Range Commanders Council (RCC) Meteorology Group and is available for downloading and use as a reference file by any individual who needs to self-educate on the causes and potential effects of space weather on launch and test range systems and operations. This guide offers a variety of educational resources as well as real-time space weather monitoring sources.

The RCC gives acknowledgement for special contributions to:

William M. Schmeiser
30th Space Wing
900 Corral Road Building 21150
Vandenburg Air Force Base, CA 93437

Paul Gehred
Detachment 3, 16th Weather Squadron
Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433

Please direct any questions to:

Secretariat, Range Commanders Council
Attn: TEDT-WS-RCC
Building 100 Headquarters Avenue
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002-5110
Telephone: (575) 678-1107, DSN 258-1107
E-mail: usarmy.wsmr.atec.list.rcc@mail.mil
**ACRONYMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFB</td>
<td>Air Force Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DoD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVA</td>
<td>Extra-vehicular activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFZ</td>
<td>GeoForschungsZentrum (German Research Center)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES</td>
<td>Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global Positioning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>High frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS</td>
<td>Ionospheric Prediction Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kp</td>
<td>A measure of the global average geomagnetic potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LORAN</td>
<td>Long range navigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeV</td>
<td>Measurement of energy (eV – electron volt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSWP</td>
<td>National Space Weather Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POES</td>
<td>Polar Operational Environmental Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCC</td>
<td>Range Commanders Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEE</td>
<td>Single event effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWPC</td>
<td>Space Weather Prediction Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Uniformed resource locator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHF</td>
<td>Very high frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITION

Our business on the various test and launch ranges in Department of Defense (DoD) and the civilian sector is to monitor and report on weather. Thunderstorms, winds, hail; you name it, we watch it. Or do we? Most of us go about our business on the test ranges with little thought of the implications of the space weather. For the last one hundred years or so, mankind has ventured into the radio-electronic realm and has encountered mystery, innovation, almost miraculous discoveries and advances, and puzzles that are seemingly insolvable. During World War II, with heavy reliance on radar and radio as war-fighting tools, we encountered unexplained outages. You may have seen movies showing soldiers tearing their seemingly broken radios apart and putting them back together only to find they now worked. Did they do anything to actually fix it? The answer is probably, no. What happened was that they were being blanked out by a solar storm induced short wave fade, a radio storm from the sun that cleared up about the time the soldiers got their gear back together. So, “Sparks” the radioman saved the day, or so it seemed.

Today, we know better, but we still encounter problems on our ranges and with our payloads that we cannot explain. That is, until we take into account the effects from solar activity, now known as space weather. We cannot possibly know the details of each range mission, the resources used by the individual meteorology offices, and the issues that each range might possibly encounter. You may have radars that can be directly affected by solar radio storms. You may be reliant upon Global Positioning System (GPS) technology that we discovered in the last ten years can be blanked out even in middle latitudes by solar storming; you might rely upon high frequency (HF) communications that can be directly impacted by the sun.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Space Weather Prediction Center in Boulder, Colorado has produced a few excellent papers designed to teach interested parties about space weather and its potential effects on our adventures and operations. We just have to go out and read them. In doing so, we will find there are many potential problems lying in wait that will adversely affect all aspects of our daily lives as well as our range operations. The following paragraphs are excerpts from the NOAA Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) primer, A Profile of Space Weather.
Space Weather describes the conditions in space that affect Earth and its technological systems. Space Weather is a consequence of the behavior of the Sun, the nature of Earth’s magnetic field and atmosphere, and our location in the solar system. The active elements of space weather are particles, electromagnetic energy, and magnetic field, rather than the more commonly known weather contributors of water, temperature, and air.

Hurricanes and tsunamis are dangerous, and forecasting their arrival is a vital part of dealing with severe weather. Similarly, the Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) forecasts space weather to assist users in avoiding or mitigating severe space weather. These are storms that originate from the Sun and occur in space near Earth or in the Earth’s atmosphere. Most of the disruptions caused by space weather storms affect technology, and susceptible technology is quickly growing in use. Satellites, for example, once rare and only government-owned, are now numerous and carry weather information, military surveillance, TV and other communications signals, credit card and pager transmissions, navigation data, and cell phone conversations. With the rising sophistication of our technologies, and the number of people that use technology, vulnerability to space weather events has increased dramatically.

Geomagnetic Storms

- Induced Currents in the atmosphere and on the ground
  - Electric Power Grid systems suffer from widespread voltage control problems and possible transformer damage, with the biggest storms resulting in complete power grid collapse or black-outs.
  - Pipelines carrying oil, for instance, can be damaged by the high currents.
• **Electric Charges in Space**
  
  o Satellites may acquire extensive surface and bulk charging (from energetic particles, primarily electrons), resulting in problems with the components and electronic systems on board the spacecraft.

• **Geomagnetic disruption in the upper atmosphere**
  
  o HF (high frequency) radio propagation may be impossible in many areas for a few hours to a couple of days. Aircraft relying on HF communications are often unable to communicate with their control centers.
  o Satellite navigation (like GPS receivers) may be degraded for days, again putting many users at risk, including airlines, shipping, and recreational users.
  o Satellites can experience satellite drag, causing them to slow and even change orbit. They will on occasion need to be boosted back to higher orbits.
  o The Aurora, or northern/southern lights, can be seen in high latitudes (e.g. Alaska and across the northern states). In very large storms the aurora has been seen in middle and low latitudes such as Florida and further south. This is one of the delights of space weather, but it also signals trouble to groups impacted by geomagnetic storms.

**Solar Radiation Storms**

• **Radiation Hazard to Humans**
  
  o High radiation hazards to astronauts can be limited by staying inside a shielded spaceship. This is a problem for astronauts outside the International Space Station as well as on the Moon or any planet.
  o The same kind of exposure, although less threatening, troubles passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes. Airplanes now fly higher and at higher latitudes, even over the poles, to make flights faster and more economical, but they run a greater risk of exposure to solar radiation.

• **Radiation Damage to Satellites in Space**
  
  o High-energy particles (mostly protons) can render satellites useless (either for a short time or permanently) by damaging any of the following parts: computer memory failure causing loss of control; star-trackers failing; or solar panels permanently damaged.

• **Radiation Impact on Communications**
  
  o HF communications and low frequency navigation signals are susceptible to radiation storms as well. HF communication at high latitudes is often impossible for several days during radiation storms.
Radio Blackouts

- Sunlit-Side impact on Communication
  - HF radio can suffer a complete blackout lasting for hours on the entire sunlit side of the earth. This results in no HF radio contact with mariners and en route aviators in this sector.
  - A large spectrum of radio noise may interfere directly with VHF signals. Sunlit-Side impact on Navigation
  - Low-frequency navigation signals (LORAN) used by maritime and general aviation systems have outages on the sunlit side of the Earth for many hours, causing a loss in positioning. Increased satellite navigation (GPS) errors in positioning for several hours on the sunlit side of earth, which may spread into the night side.

The examples listed above illustrate some of the impacts of space weather storms. To learn more about these impacts, we need to learn about the source of the storms.
CHAPTER 2

NOAA SPACE WEATHER SCALES

So, is your interest piqued yet? One of the Range Commanders Council Meteorology Group tasks is to provide meteorological awareness for our range customers. That awareness is not just limited to tropospheric weather. We need to be aware of weather effects in the entire atmosphere and beyond. As we stretch out into space and increasingly rely on microelectronics and satellite communications, the impacts of space weather will become increasingly important.

We start this chapter with the official NOAA space weather scales for geomagnetic storms, solar radiation storms, and radio blackouts. Our intention in presenting this paper is not to teach you that level of knowledge, but to offer the resources so you can teach yourselves. There is a plethora of resources online for you. We have researched many of them and identified them in the pages to follow. Don’t stop there. There are many, many more, but those furnished in this document have proven to be among the most useful.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Physical measure</th>
<th>Average Frequency (1 cycle = 11 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geomagnetic Storms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 5  Extreme</td>
<td><strong>Power systems</strong>: widespread voltage control problems and protective system problems can occur, some grid systems may experience complete collapse or blackouts. Transformers may experience damage. <strong>Spacecraft operations</strong>: may experience extensive surface charging, problems with orientation, uplink/downlink and tracking satellites. <strong>Other systems</strong>: pipeline currents can reach hundreds of amps, HF (high frequency) radio propagation may be impossible in many areas for one to two days, satellite navigation may be degraded for days, low-frequency radio navigation can be out for hours, and aurora has been seen as low as Florida and southern Texas (typically 40° geomagnetic lat).**</td>
<td>Kp = 9</td>
<td>4 per cycle (4 days per cycle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 4  Severe</td>
<td><strong>Power systems</strong>: possible widespread voltage control problems and some protective systems will mistakenly trip out key assets from the grid. <strong>Spacecraft operations</strong>: may experience surface charging and tracking problems, corrections may be needed for orientation problems.</td>
<td>Kp = 8, including 9</td>
<td>100 per cycle (60 days per cycle)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Other systems:
Induced pipeline currents affect preventive measures, HF radio propagation sporadic, satellite navigation degraded for hours, low-frequency radio navigation disrupted, and aurora has been seen as low as Alabama and northern California (typically 45° geomagnetic lat.)**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Power systems:</th>
<th>Spacecraft operations:</th>
<th>Other systems:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strong</strong></td>
<td>Voltage corrections may be required, false alarms triggered on some protection devices.</td>
<td>Intermittent satellite navigation and low-frequency radio navigation problems may occur, HF radio may be intermittent, and aurora has been seen as low as Illinois and Oregon (typically 50° geomagnetic lat.)**.</td>
<td><strong>Kp = 7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moderate</strong></td>
<td>High-latitude power systems may experience voltage alarms, long-duration storms may cause transformer damage.</td>
<td>Corrective actions to orientation may be required by ground control; possible changes in drag affect orbit predictions.</td>
<td><strong>Kp = 6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Minor</strong></td>
<td>Weak power grid fluctuations can occur.</td>
<td>HF radio propagation can fade at higher latitudes, and aurora has been seen as low as New York and Idaho (typically 55° geomagnetic lat.)**.</td>
<td><strong>Kp = 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kp</th>
<th>Cycle Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>200 per cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>600 per cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1700 per cycle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Spacecraft operations:** minor impact on satellite operations possible.

**Other systems:** migratory animals are affected at this and higher levels; aurora is commonly visible at high latitudes (northern Michigan and Maine)**.

* The Kp-index used to generate these messages is derived from a real-time network of observatories the report data to SWPC in near real-time. In most cases the real-time estimate of the Kp index will be a good approximation to the official Kp indices that are issued twice per month by the German GeoForschungsZentrum (GFZ) (Research Center for Geosciences).

** For specific locations around the globe, use geomagnetic latitude to determine likely sightings (Tips on Viewing the Aurora)
### TABLE 2. NOAA SPACE WEATHER SCALE FOR SOLAR RADIATION STORMS

| Category | Effect | Physical measure | Average Frequency  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scale</strong></td>
<td><strong>Descriptor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Duration of event will influence severity of effects</strong></td>
<td><em>(1 cycle = 11 years)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Radiation Storms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flux level of $\geq 10$ MeV particles (ions)*</td>
<td><strong>Number of events when flux level was met (number of storm days</strong>*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 5</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>Biological: unavoidable high radiation hazard to astronauts on extra-vehicular activity (EVA); passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes may be exposed to radiation risk.*** Satellite operations: satellites may be rendered useless, memory impacts can cause loss of control, may cause serious noise in image data, star-trackers may be unable to locate sources; permanent damage to solar panels possible. Other systems: complete blackout of HF (high frequency) communications possible through the polar regions, and position errors make navigation operations extremely difficult.</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 4</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Biological: unavoidable radiation hazard to astronauts on EVA; passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes may be exposed to radiation risk.*** Satellite operations: may experience memory device problems and noise on imaging systems; star-tracker problems may cause orientation problems, and solar panel efficiency can be degraded.</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| S 3 | Strong | Biological: radiation hazard avoidance recommended for astronauts on EVA; passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes may be exposed to radiation risk.***  
Satellite operations: single-event upsets, noise in imaging systems, and slight reduction of efficiency in solar panel are likely.  
Other systems: degraded HF radio propagation through the polar regions and navigation position errors likely. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Biological: passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes may be exposed to elevated radiation risk.***  
Satellite operations: infrequent single-event upsets possible.  
Other systems: small effects on HF propagation through the polar regions and navigation at polar cap locations possibly affected. |
| 10⁴ | 10 per cycle |

| S 2 | Moderate | Biological: none.  
Satellite operations: none.  
Other systems: minor impacts on HF radio in the polar regions. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Biological: passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes may be exposed to elevated radiation risk.***  
Satellite operations: infrequent single-event upsets possible.  
Other systems: small effects on HF propagation through the polar regions and navigation at polar cap locations possibly affected. |
| 10² | 25 per cycle |

| S 1 | Minor | Biological: none.  
Satellite operations: none.  
Other systems: minor impacts on HF radio in the polar regions. |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Biological: passengers and crew in high-flying aircraft at high latitudes may be exposed to elevated radiation risk.***  
Satellite operations: infrequent single-event upsets possible.  
Other systems: small effects on HF propagation through the polar regions and navigation at polar cap locations possibly affected. |
| 10 | 50 per cycle |

* Flux levels are 5 minute averages. Flux in particles·s⁻¹·ster⁻¹·cm². Based on this measure, but other physical measures are also considered.

** These events can last more than one day.

*** High energy particle measurements (>100 MeV) are a better indicator of radiation risk to passenger and crews. Pregnant women are particularly susceptible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Physical measure</th>
<th>Average Frequency (1 cycle=11 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 5</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td><strong>HF Radio:</strong> Complete HF (high frequency**) radio blackout on the entire sunlit side of the Earth lasting for a number of hours. This results in no HF radio contact with mariners and en route aviators in this sector. <strong>Navigation:</strong> Low-frequency navigation signals used by maritime and general aviation systems experience outages on the sunlit side of the Earth for many hours, causing loss in positioning. Increased satellite navigation errors in positioning for several hours on the sunlit side of Earth, which may spread into the night side.</td>
<td><strong>GOES X-ray peak brightness by class and by flux</strong>&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 4</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td><strong>HF Radio:</strong> HF radio communication blackout on most of the sunlit side of Earth for one to two hours. HF radio contact lost during this time. <strong>Navigation:</strong> Outages of low-frequency navigation signals cause increased error in positioning for one to two hours. Minor disruptions of satellite navigation possible on the sunlit side of Earth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 3</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td><strong>HF Radio:</strong> Wide area blackout of HF radio communication, loss of radio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>HF Radio:</td>
<td>Navigation:</td>
<td>Flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 2</td>
<td>Limited blackout of HF radio communication on sunlit side, loss of radio contact for tens of minutes.</td>
<td>Degradation of low-frequency navigation signals for tens of minutes.</td>
<td>M5 $(5 \times 10^{-5})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 1</td>
<td>Weak or minor degradation of HF radio communication on sunlit side, occasional loss of radio contact.</td>
<td>Low-frequency navigation signals degraded for brief intervals.</td>
<td>M1 $(10^{-5})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Flux, measured in the 0.1-0.8 nm range, in W·m⁻². Based on this measure, but other physical measures are also considered.

** Other frequencies may also be affected by these conditions.
CHAPTER 3

SPACE WEATHER AWARENESS AND TRAINING RESOURCES

3.1 Introduction to Space Weather

An introduction to space weather presentation was given at the Range Commanders Council 90th Meteorological Group Meeting held April 2012. The authors/presenters were Mr. Bill Murtagh, NOAA Space Environment Prediction Center Boulder, CO; Mr. Paul Gehred, Detachment 3, 16th Weather Squadron, Wright Patterson AFB, OH; and Mr. Mike Schmeiser, 30th Operations Support Squadron Weather Flight, Vandenberg AFB, CA. This presentation, contained in the appendix, provides a good introduction for understanding the impacts of space weather. (See Appendix A)

3.2 Space Weather Impacts on Aviation

http://www.meted.ucar.edu/training_module.php?id=963

In 1989, the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research established the COMET® program to promote increased understanding of mesoscale meteorology and to maximize the benefits of new weather technologies. The COMET Program recently announced the publication of a new module, Space Weather Impacts on Aviation. As the anticipated 2013 solar maximum nears, space weather events are likely to affect radio, navigation, and other systems on Earth more frequently. This 1.5-hour module examines the effects of solar flares, coronal mass ejections, and other solar phenomena on aviation operations. The module gives forecasters and others an overview of the information and products available from NOAA’s SWPC and provides practice interpreting and using those products for aviation decision support. The intended audience for Space Weather Impacts on Aviation includes aviation meteorologists collocated with air traffic control centers as well as any operational forecasters tasked with providing guidance for aviation operations. The material familiarizing the learner with different space weather impacts will also be of interest to anyone curious about the interactions of solar emissions with Earth.

3.3 Space Weather Basics, 2nd Edition

http://www.meted.ucar.edu/spaceweather/basic/index.htm

The COMET Program has also recently announced the publication of Space Weather Basics, 2nd Edition. This 45-minute module is an update to the first Space Weather Basics module published in 2005. The module is designed to discuss the basics of space weather between the Sun, where space weather begins, and Earth, where the effects of space weather are felt. It begins by supplying some detail about the Sun and the role it plays, the types of space weather events and their impacts, and how Earth and the magnetosphere respond to these events. The updates to the module highlight how time-dependent, three-dimensional models and new data feeds from recently launched satellites are contributing to the forecasts of space weather events approaching Earth issued by NOAA’s SWPC. This updated module includes graphics, animations, audio narration, and a companion print version.
Space weather describes the conditions in space that affect Earth and its technological systems. Space weather is a consequence of the behavior of the Sun, the nature of Earth’s magnetic field and atmosphere, and our location in the solar system. The active elements of space weather are particles, electromagnetic energy, and magnetic field, rather than the more commonly known weather contributors of water, temperature, and air. This online primer outlines and explains these effects.

This web site leads to a menu of space weather information, short reference papers, materials for the classroom, as well as links to a variety of space weather information sites.

What are scientists talking about when they say “space weather”? How is it like weather on Earth? How is it different? How does space weather affect me? Can astronomers forecast space weather, and if so, how? What are the unsolved mysteries in the field of space weather? Read on. The Windows to the Universe online educational series is brought to you by the University of Michigan.

This site contains a series of occasional articles by IPS staff and their colleagues. These could be everything you always wanted to know about the Sun, space weather and much more.

The Unified National Space Weather Portal provides a gateway to access federally funded space weather information, services, and activities. It connects to a system of existing portals and websites, providing national information to enhance understanding. This portal was developed through the National Space Weather Program (NSWP) as part of the Unified National Space Weather Capability. The NSWP is an interagency initiative to speed improvement in space weather services and prepare the country to deal with technological vulnerabilities associated with the space environment.
3.9 Effects on Spacecraft and Aircraft Electronics

Spacecraft systems are vulnerable to space weather through its influence on energetic charged particle and plasma populations, while aircraft electronics and aircrew are vulnerable to cosmic rays and solar particle events. These particles produce a variety of effects including total dose, lattice displacement damage, single event effects (SEE), noise in sensors and spacecraft charging. The paper included in Appendix B describes research published by Mr. Clive Dyer and Mr. David Rodgers. (See Appendix B)
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CHAPTER 4

REAL-TIME SPACE WEATHER PRODUCTS AND MONITORING

4.1 World Meteorological Organization Space Weather Product Portal
http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/sat/spaceweather-productportal_en.php

This space weather product portal offers two ways of accessing products, either by product category or by providing organization. The “Search by Product Category” leads to selected product collections on local pages of the providing organizations with links to the products.

4.2 National Weather Service Space Weather Prediction Center Space Weather Data and Products.
http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/Data/index.html

This site gives you all the space weather data you need to monitor conditions and effects on your systems. Through this site you can see space weather forecasts, reports and summaries of solar activity, get real-time measurements from Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) and Polar Operational Environmental Satellite (POES) satellites, and sign up to receive alerts and warnings. This is an excellent resource to have bookmarked.
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Our Star

Solar x-ray image taken from space on June 26, 2000. The prominences are radiating x-rays at a temperature of about 60,000 degrees K.

The Solar Cycle

Solar Rotation

Approx. size of Earth →

March 30, 2001

Sun-Earth Coupling – Solar Wind

Solar Wind – Magnetosphere

Thermosphere Energy Sources
- Solar EUV
- Auroral (Solar Wind)
- Upward Propagating Flares
APPENDIX A
SPACE WEATHER
MR. MIKE SCHMEISER

Radiation Belts

The South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) is an area where the Earth’s magnetic field is weaker, leading to an increased flux of energetic particles in this region and exposing satellites and lower altitude satellites to higher than usual levels of radiation. This effect can be the result of the solar wind or the Earth’s magnetic dipole, and the SAA is the region where the Earth’s magnetic field is minimal.

Complicated Currents!

Ultraviolet image taken from space showing evidence of current activity, the southern auroral ring.

What Happens in a Solar Storm?

September 23, 2000

Magnetic Release, Plasma Clouds

Who Cares?

Space is neither empty nor benign, & it’s getting crowded – 2006 “Space Catalog” of active satellites
Solar Storm Data

January 2005
Flights rerouted, polar routes abandoned altogether
ISS astronauts took precautionary shelter
Several satellite anomalies
Extended HF comm problems

Geostationary Satellite Environment

Space Weather Prediction Models

Ionospheric Model, GAIM

Radio Data in Real Time
Questions?
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Effects on Spacecraft & Aircraft Electronics

Clive Dyer & David Rodgers,
Space Department, DERA Farnborough,
Hampshire GU14 0LX, UK

ABSTRACT

Spacecraft systems are vulnerable to Space Weather through its influence on energetic charged particle and plasma populations, while aircraft electronics and aircrew are vulnerable to cosmic rays and solar particle events. These particles produce a variety of effects including total dose, lattice displacement damage, single event effects (SEE), noise in sensors and spacecraft charging. Examples of all the above effects are given from observed spacecraft anomalies or on-board dosimetry and these demonstrate the need for increased understanding and prediction accuracy for Space Weather.

1. SPACE RADIATION ENVIRONMENT

1.1 Cosmic Rays

The earth’s magnetosphere is bombarded by a nearly isotropic flux of energetic charged particles, primarily the nuclei of atoms stripped of all electrons. These comprise 85% protons (hydrogen nuclei), 14% alpha particles or helium nuclei, and 1% heavier covering the full range of elements, some of the more abundant being, for example, carbon and iron nuclei. They travel at close to the speed of light, have huge energies (up to $10^{21}$ eV) and appear to have been travelling through the galaxy for some ten million years before intersecting the earth. They are partly kept out by the earth’s magnetic field and have easier access at the poles compared with the equator. From the point of view of space systems it is particles in the energy range 1-20 GeV per nucleon which have most influence. An important quantity is the rigidity of a cosmic ray which measures its resistance to bending in a magnetic field and is defined as the momentum-to-charge ratio for which typical units are GV. The radius of curvature of the particle is then the ratio between its rigidity and the magnetic field. At each point on the earth it is possible to define a threshold rigidity or cut-off which a particle must exceed to be able to arrive there. Values vary from 0 at the poles to about 17 GV at the equator.

The influence of Space Weather is to provide a modulation in antiphase with the sunspot cycle and with a phase lag which is dependent on energy. The penetration of these galactic cosmic rays into the vicinity of the earth is influenced by conditions on the sun, which emits a continuous wind of ionised gas, or plasma, which forms a bubble of gas extending beyond the solar system. This carries out magnetic field lines from the sun and the strength of the wind and geometry of the magnetic field influence the levels of cosmic rays. At the present time (1998) we are just past the minimum in the eleven year solar cycle when the cosmic rays have easier access and are at their most intense.

1.2 Radiation Belts

The very first spaceflight of a radiation monitor in 1958 showed unusual regions of high counts and detector saturation which Van Allen identified as regions of radiation trapped in the earth’s magnetic field. Subsequent research showed that these divide into two belts, an inner belt extending to 2.5 earth radii and comprising energetic protons up to 600 MeV together with electrons up to several MeV, and an outer belt comprising mainly electrons extending to 10 earth radii. The slot region between the belts has lower intensities but may be greatly enhanced for up to a year following one or two solar events in each solar cycle. The outer belt is naturally highly time variable and is driven by solar wind conditions. These variations are examples of Space Weather.

The earth’s atmosphere removes particles from the radiation belts and low earth orbits can be largely free of trapped particles. However because of the displacement of the dipole term in the geomagnetic field away from the earth’s centre, there is a region in the South Atlantic where the trapped radiation is found at lower altitudes. This is called the South Atlantic or Brazilian Anomaly (SAA) and dominates the radiation received by low earth orbits. In addition, highly inclined low earth orbits intersect the outer belt electrons at high latitudes in the so-called horn regions. An artist’s impression of the radiation belts is given in figure 1, which shows how a high inclination orbit intersects the outer belt.

![Figure 1. Artist’s impression of the radiation belts.](image)

As illustrated in section 3, Space Weather influences the upper atmosphere leading to variations in the particle population in the SAA.

1.3 Solar Particles
In the years around solar maximum the sun is an additional sporadic source of lower energy particles accelerated during certain solar flares and in the subsequent coronal mass ejections. These solar particle events last for several days at a time and comprise both protons and heavier ions with variable composition from event to event. Energies typically range up to several hundred MeV and have most influence on high inclination or high altitude systems. Occasional events produce particles of several GeV in energy and these can reach equatorial latitudes.

1.4 Atmospheric Secondaries

On the earth’s surface we are shielded by the atmosphere. The primary cosmic rays interact with air nuclei to generate a cascade of secondary particles comprising protons, neutrons, mesons and nuclear fragments. The intensity of radiation builds up to a maximum at 60000 feet (this is known as the Pfotzer maximum after its discoverer who flew a detector on a very high altitude balloon in 1936) and then slowly drops off to sea level. At normal aircraft cruising altitudes the radiation is several hundred times the ground level intensity and at 60000 feet a factor three higher again. Solar particles are less penetrating and only a few events in each cycle can reach aircraft altitudes or ground level. Some of the neutrons are emitted by the atmosphere to give a significant albedo neutron flux at LEO spacecraft. The decay of these albedo neutrons into protons is believed to populate the inner radiation belt.

1.5 Spacecraft Secondaries

Spacecraft shielding is complicated by the production of secondary products. For example, electrons produce penetrating X-radiation, or bremsstrahlung, as they scatter and slow on atomic nuclei. Cascades of secondary particles, similar to those produced in the atmosphere, are also produced in spacecraft and can become very significant for heavy structures, such as Shuttle, Space Station and the large observatories, where path lengths can reach values equivalent to the atmospheric Pfotzer maximum (density x thickness values of around 100 g cm\(^{-2}\)).

2. RADIATION EFFECTS

2.1 Total Dose Effects

Dose is used to quantify the effects of charge liberation by ionisation and is defined as the energy deposited as ionisation and excitation per unit mass of material (note that the material should be specified). SI units are J/kg or gray (Gy = 100 rads, where 1 rad is 100 ergs/g). The majority of effects depend on rate of delivery and so dose-rate information is required. Accumulated dose leads to threshold voltage shifts in CMOS due to trapped holes in the oxide and the formation of interface states. In addition increased leakage currents and gain degradation in bipolar devices can occur.

2.2 Displacement Damage

A proportion of the energy-loss of energetic radiation goes into lattice displacement damage and it is found that effects scale with NIEL, defined as the non-ionising energy loss per unit mass. The corresponding property of the radiation field is the non-ionising energy loss rate (i.e. per unit pathlength). For certain systems it is common to give the equivalent fluence of certain particles required to give the same level of damage (e.g. 1 MeV electrons or 10 MeV protons). Whereas dose is often measured directly, these quantities are usually calculated from measurements of the incident particle energy spectrum. Examples of damage effects are reduction in bipolar transistor gain, reduced efficiencies in solar cells, light emitting diodes and photodetectors, charge transfer inefficiency in charge coupled devices and resolution degradation in solid-state detectors.

2.3 Single Event Effects

The primary cosmic rays are very energetic and are highly ionising, which means that they strip electrons from atoms which lie in their path and hence generate charge. The density of charge deposition is proportional to the square of the atomic number of the cosmic ray so that the heavier species can deposit enough charge in a small volume of silicon to change the state of a memory cell, a one becoming a zero and vice versa. Thus memories can become corrupted and this could lead to erroneous commands. Such soft errors are referred to as single event upsets (SEU). Sometimes a single particle can upset more than one bit to give what are called multiple bit upsets (MBU). Certain devices could be triggered into a state of high current drain, leading to burn-out and hardware failure; such effects are termed single event latch-up or single event burn-out. In other devices localised dielectric breakdown and rupture can occur (single event gate rupture and single event dielectric failure). These deleterious interactions of individual particles are referred to as single event effects (SEE) to distinguish them from the cumulative effects of ionising radiation (total dose effects) or lattic displacements (damage effects). For space systems SEE have become increasingly important over the last fifteen years and are likely to become the major radiation effects problem of the future. For avionics SEE are the main radiation concern but total dose can be of significance for aircrew (although the latter is in fact an accumulation of SEE in tissue).

The severity of an environment is usually expressed as an integral linear energy transfer spectrum which gives the flux of particles depositing more than certain amount of energy (and hence charge) per unit pathlength of material. Energy deposited per unit pathlength is referred to as linear energy transfer (LET) and the common units are MeV per g cm\(^{-2}\) or per mg cm\(^{-2}\) (the product of density and pathlength). Devices are characterised in terms of a cross-section (effective area presented to the beam for a SEE to occur) which is a function of LET. For each device there is a threshold LET below which SEE does not occur. As device sizes shrink these thresholds are moving to lower LET and rates are increasing. In addition to directly ionising interactions with electrons, particles may interact with atomic nuclei thus imparting a certain recoil energy and generating secondary particles. Both the recoiling nucleus and secondary charged particles are highly ionising so that if such a reaction occurs in, or adjacent to, a device depletion region a single event upset (SEU) or single event latch-up (SEL) can result. Collisions with nuclei are less probable than collisions with orbital electrons but when certain particle fluxes are high this mechanism can dominate. This occurs in the earth’s inner radiation belt where there are intense fluxes of energetic protons. It can also occur in the atmosphere where there is a build-up of significant fluxes of secondary neutrons. This mechanism is thought to be the dominant SEE hazard for current and near future avionics at most altitudes.
For radiation effects on biological systems it is found that there is a strong dependence on LET and so dose equivalents are used. Quality factors are defined to measure the enhancement in the effect compared with lightly ionising electrons or photons. These factors can be as large as 20 for heavy ions and fast neutrons. Thus for radiobiological dosimetry the charge deposition or LET spectrum must be measured, at least at coarse resolution, and summation of dose x quality factor made to give the dose equivalent, for which the SI units are sieverts (the dose equivalent of the rad is the rem, so that 1 sievert = 100 rem).

2.4 Background Noise in Sensors

Spurious counts are produced in many detector systems and these depend on the size distribution of individual depositions and can occur from both prompt ionisation and delayed depositions due to induced radioactivity.

2.5 Electrostatic Charging

Surface charging can occur when spacecraft are bathed in energetic plasmas (several keV electron temperature) without the presence of neutralising cold plasma. This can occur in the geomagnetic tail region during geomagnetic storms and the subsequent discharges can couple into spacecraft systems. Internal charging, or deep dielectric charging as it is commonly called, can occur during energetic (several MeV) electron enhancements. Electrons penetrating the thin skin can be trapped in dielectric materials near the surface and sufficient build-up can occur over a few days to result in a damaging electron caused electromagnetic pulse (ECEMP).

3. EXAMPLES OF EFFECTS AND SPACE WEATHER

3.1 Total Dose

It is difficult to obtain hard evidence of failures as there are usually insufficient diagnostics and effects are readily confused with ageing. Exceptions are when deliberate experiments are performed, such as on the Combined Release and Radiation Effects Spacecraft (CRRES) or the current Microelectronics and Photonics Test Bed. Sensitive pMOS transistors are frequently used as RADFETs to deliberately monitor the accumulated dose via the measured threshold voltage shift.

Example measurements from the CREDO monitor flown on APEX (352x2486 km, 70° inclination) and STRV (GTO, 7° inclination) are given in figure 2. Dose-rate variations for the most exposed dosimeters on APEX and STRV are compared for the first 90 days of APEX operation commencing in August 1994, after which extensive interruptions to the power supply rendered the data difficult to interpret. The underlying downward trend seen on APEX during the first 60 days is due to the precession of apogee away from the equator, where maximum penetration of the inner belt occurs. This trend is well predicted by the standard AE-8/AP-8 models of trapped electrons and protons (Refs. 1 & 2). However the least shielded dosimeter also shows periodic large increases in dose-rate coincident with increases seen by STRV as well as electron fluxes seen at geostationary orbit by GOES-7, showing that enhancements in the outer radiation belt are observable at low altitude in the high latitude "horn regions". This is a clear example of Space Weather simultaneously affecting dose rates in GEO,GTO and MEO orbits.

Figure 2. Dose-rates (upper plot) on APEX (eccentric LEO to 2400 km) and STRV (GTO) are compared with electron fluxes measured on GOES in GEO (lower histogram).

3.2 Displacement Damage

The clearest examples arise from observations of degradations in solar array efficiency where sharp drops can occur during solar particle events. For example, drops in efficiency of 4% in GEO (Ref. 3) and 2% in LEO (Ref. 4) were observed during the large solar particle events of September and October 1989. The March 1991 event was responsible for removing the equivalent of 3 years lifetime from the GOES spacecraft (Ref. 5).

Recently optocoupler failures have been observed on the TOPEX spacecraft due to reduced current transfer efficiency resulting from proton damage of the photodetector element (Ref. 6). Such failures will be susceptible to Space Weather through variations in the inner belt protons and solar protons.

3.3 Single Event Effects

A classic example of cosmic-ray induced upsets was experienced by the NASA/DoD Tracking and Data Relay Satellite (TDRS-1) which incorporated sensitive RAM chips in the Attitude Control System. Rates of 1 to 2 per day clearly showed modulation with cosmic rays, while during the solar particle events of September to October 1989 rates reached 20 per day (Ref. 7). As a result expensive ground control procedures had to be employed on what was intended to be an autonomous spacecraft.

A classic example of hardware failure occurred in the PRARE (Precision Ranging Experiment) instrument carried on the ERS-1 (European Remote Sensing Spacecraft). A latch-up failure occurred in the heart of the SAA after 5 days and led to loss of the instrument. Subsequent analysis and ground testing proved this diagnosis (Ref.8).

Commercial, unhardened systems are particularly vulnerable. For example IBM ThinkPad computers on the MIR Space station have shown upsets every nine hours (Ref. 9), while other laptop computers on Space Shuttle have shown upset rates of one per hour (Ref. 10)
Examples will be given to show how Space Weather influences the SEE environment from sea level to interplanetary space.

3.3.1 Avionics

In the last ten years it has been realised that single event effects will also be experienced by sensitive electronics in aircraft systems, which are subjected to increasing levels of cosmic radiation and their secondaries as altitude increases. Significant effort has gone into monitoring the environment and analysing operational systems for SEUs.

The CREAM (Cosmic Radiation Effects and Activation Monitor) and CREDO (Cosmic Radiation Effects and Dosimetry) detectors are designed to monitor those aspects of the space radiation environment of concern for electronics; i.e. charge-deposition spectra, linear energy transfer spectra and total dose. In the CREAM and CREDO-I instruments the SEU environment is monitored by means of pulse-height analysis of the charge-deposition spectra in ten pin diodes, each 1 cm² in area and 300 µm in depth.

A version of the CREAM detector made regular flights on-board Concorde G-BOAB between November 1988 and December 1992. Approximately 540 hours of data accumulated between May and August 1993 have been analysed and these are combined with Concorde data from late 1992 to give the altitude profiles of counts for channel 5 shown in figure 4. Also plotted are predicted rates from cosmic rays and their secondary fragments using the AIRPROP code (Ref. 14) showing that these are not the major contribution. Recent work (Ref. 15) has concentrated on explaining both the altitude dependence and the energy deposition spectra using radiation transport codes. The results of a microdosimetry code extension to the Integrated Radiation Transport Suite are shown in figure 4. This microdosimetry code tracks the products of nuclear reactions occurring in the sensitive volume of silicon and its surrounds. Figure 4 shows that atmospheric secondary neutrons are the major contribution but that ions start to become important at the highest altitudes.

An increasing body of data on upsets in avionics systems is being accumulated. In an unintentional experiment, reported by Olsen et al. (Ref. 16), a commercial computer was temporarily withdrawn from service when bit-errors were found to accumulate in 256 Kbit CMOS SRAMs (D43256 A6U-15LL). Following ground irradiations by neutrons, the observed upset rate of $4.8 \times 10^{-8}$ upsets per bit-day at conventional altitudes.
(35000 feet) was found to be explicable in terms of SEUs induced by atmospheric neutrons. In an intentional investigation of single event upsets in avionics, Taber and Normand (Ref.17) have flown a large quantity of CMOS SRAM devices at conventional altitudes on a Boeing E-3/AWACS aircraft and at high altitudes (65000 feet) on a NASA ER-2 aircraft. Upset rates in the IMS1601 64Kx1 SRAM varied between 1.2x10^-7 per bit-day at 30000 feet and 40° latitude to 5.4x10^-7 at high altitudes and latitudes. Reasonable agreement was obtained with predictions based on neutron fluxes.

3.3.2 Shuttle

The CREAM detector has flown on a number of Shuttle missions between 1991 and 1998.

Figure 5. Count-rate profile for CREAM on STS-48 compared with prediction based on AP-8 & 1970 magnetic field model. Double-peak pass at orbit 23 is not predicted.

Figure 5 show count-rate profiles for a typical day in the mission STS-48 which was launched on 12 September 1991 into a 57º, 570 km orbit. The cosmic-ray modulation around the orbit due to the geomagnetic cut-off rigidity is seen while the peaks are due to passages through the SAA regime of trapped protons. Rates are compared with predicted proton fluxes based on the AP-8 model in conjunction with the 1970 geomagnetic field model and with cut-off rigidities obtained using the CREME code (Ref. 18). It can be noted that peak observed at orbit 23 is not predicted, however use of the 1991 geomagnetic field does predict a peak for this orbit. While use of the field pertaining to the data from which the models were created is the recommended procedure it does not account for the steady drift of the SAA contours to the West due to evolution of the geomagnetic field. This is illustrated in figure 6 where the ground track of orbit 23 for STS-48 is shown with respect to the SAA contours obtained using the 1991 field. It can be seen that the orbit just clips the contours to the Southwest and would miss for 1970 field contours. For this orbit there is a second peak observed off of South Africa which is not predicted by either field model. This region is where the L=2.5 shell intersects this altitude orbit and the high fluxes are due to the second proton belt observed by CRRES to be created by the solar flare event of 23 March 1991. Careful analysis of STS-53 data obtained in December 1992 again shows a small enhancement in this region when cosmic-ray contributions are carefully subtracted. This was originally believed to be the remnants of the March 1991 event but evidence from UoSAT-3 (see below) now points towards a second enhancement, possibly associated with a flare in October 1992. A recent review of Shuttle results is given in Ref. 19 and shows further SAA movement which cannot be predicted by simply updating the field model used with AP6.

In figure 7 cosmic-ray counts in channel 1 are plotted against rigidity for six missions spanning September 1991 (STS-48) to May 1997 (STS-84). The increase in the low latitude counts by more than a factor of two clearly shows the declining phase of the solar cycle leading to more cosmic rays at the low rigidity end of the spectrum while the high rigidity end remains unaltered.

3.3.3 UoSAT Series

This series of microsatellites (50-60 kg) has been developed by the University of Surrey to provide low cost access to space for a variety of applications such as store-and-forward communications. All are in low earth orbit with altitudes between 700 and 1300 km and have included an evolving range of large solid-state memories comprising commercial
components. These have yielded a wealth of data on single event upsets and multiple-bit upsets, while use of Error Detection and Correction (EDAC) procedures has allowed the continued successful operation of the spacecraft. Following the realisation of the significance of the SEU data from UoSAT-2 the later spacecraft in the series have included the radiation monitors CREDO provided by DERA and the similar Cosmic Ray Experiment (CRE) produced at Surrey.

UOSAT-2 was launched in 1984 into a 700 km, near polar, sun-synchronous orbit. Following the realisation of the significance of the data the SEUs have been logged to within 8.25 minutes accuracy since 1988. Data have been presented in (Ref. 20) from which figure 8 shows that the majority of events occur in the SAA region, while a further contribution from cosmic rays is seen to cluster at high latitudes. In addition the flare event of October 1989 gave a large incease in upsets.

The interest in such SEU data led us to develop the CREAM instrument developed for Concorde and Shuttle into the CREDO instrument for free-flyers and this was first launched on UoSAT-3 into 800km, 98.7° orbit in January 1990. Continuous data on both environment and upsets have been obtained since April 1990 until October 1996, covering conditions ranging from solar maximum to minimum and including a large number of solar flare events, the most notable of which was the March 1991 event responsible for creating the new proton belt as observed by CRRES.

Figure 8 shows the time variation in the high latitude channel-1 count rate of the CREDO instrument up until October 1996. South Atlantic Anomaly passes are removed from these data. The underlying increase with decreasing solar activity can be clearly seen as can the solar particle events which steadily diminished in number and intensity as solar minimum was approached. The SAA proton fluxes have also evolved over this time and the daily accumulated counts in the SAA region are shown as a function of time in figure 10 taken from Ref. 21. The flux actually fell during the first 2 years reaching a broad minimum in 1992 before steadily increasing by 34%. This is due to decreased atmospheric losses as the upper atmosphere contracts towards solar minimum but there is an obvious phase lag due to the removal time. The increase of 34% may be compared with the predicted increase between AP-8MAX and AP-8MIN which is 24% for this altitude. Given that the maximum fluxes were still not attained in late 1996, it is evident that atmospheric modulation effects are greater than predicted by AP-8. Contour plots obtained in 1992 and 1995 are compared in figure 11 and show both a general increase in intensity, as discussed above, and a north-westward drift due to the evolution of the geomagnetic field.
The count-rate profiles are shown for the six-day period commencing on 23 March 1991 in figure 12 and comparison is made with the proton channel for energies greater than 100 MeV from the GOES instrument in geostationary orbit. The counts are modulated around the orbit and the contribution of the solar flare is seen as the high latitude envelope of the count rate which reaches levels comparable to those from the SAA (seen as groups of spikes before and after the flare peak). The energy-deposition spectra during the event are compared with quiet-time for the same rigidities as above in figure 13. A significant enhancement is seen at 2-3 GV, whereas the standard CREME predictions show no penetration to these rigidities. This is probably an example of cut-off suppression by the geomagnetic storm. Comparison has now been made with the CREME96 model, based on the October 1989 event, and this is presented in figure 14. Orbit-averaged data and predictions are compared and the two CREME96 predictions are with (S) and without (NS) storm suppression of the geomagnetic cut-offs. Similar comparisons are made for the events of 31 October to 2 November 1992 in figure 15. It can be seen that the October 89 event provides a suitably conservative overestimate for all events seen by UoSAT-3. The overestimate is particularly marked at high LET, showing this event to be particularly rich in heavy ions. Only the November 92 event shows a significant enhancement at high LET. In general proton-induced upsets will more significant than flare heavy ions, although the occasional event, such as October 1989, means that they must be taken into account (Ref. 22).

The March 1991 event was responsible for a long-lived enhancement in trapped protons at around L≈2.6 as observed by...
CRRES until its demise in October 1991. As discussed above, increases in this region were seen from the high inclination Shuttle missions STS-48 and STS-53 in September 1991 and December 1992 respectively. The CREDO detector on UoSAT-3 has the advantage of continuous coverage during this time period, although the orbit gives only short duration passages through the regime of interest. The UoSAT data have been carefully examined by mapping the count-rates into B-L space following subtraction of cosmic-ray contributions by means of fits to cosmic-ray counts obtained at identical geomagnetic latitudes outside of the belts. In addition days containing direct solar-flare particles have been excluded based on data from the GOES spacecraft. The remaining counts taken over the B-L region of the new belt accessible to UoSAT have been averaged on a monthly basis and the resulting time variations for L values greater than 2.2 and 2.4 are plotted in figure 16 to show the time history of this region of the radiation belts. The marked increase at March 1991 and the decay through to October 1991 are clearly seen. There appears to have been a second increase in November 1992, possibly arising from the proton flare of 31 October 1992, and this was probably responsible for the enhancement seen by STS-53. There is also a hint of an enhancement early on following the May 1990 solar flare. Clearly the slot region is highly dynamic.

3.3.4 CRRES

The Combined Release and Radiation Effects Spacecraft (CRRES) was the most comprehensively instrumented spacecraft ever launched with the purpose of performing collateral measurements of the radiation environment and its effects on a wide range of state-of-the art and future electronics technologies. Nineteen radiation experiments on-board included the microelectronics effects package, the internal discharge monitor, the gallium arsenide solar panel experiment and a wide range of particle detectors. This effort has been accompanied by extensive supporting ground tests and radiation environment modelling activities. The two-ton spacecraft was launched into a geostationary transfer orbit (350 x 33500 km, 18.1° inclination) on 25 July 1990 and operated until October 1991.

It was fortunate that the spacecraft was operational at the time of the March 1991 solar-particle event and geomagnetic storm and was able to observe the creation of a new radiation belt of both energetic protons (Ref. 23) and very energetic electrons (Ref. 24) at around L=2.5 and to monitor the subsequent fluxes and their influence on dose-rates (Ref. 25) and upsets. Large increases in both dose-rates and SEU rates were observed following the March event. Figures 17a and 17b, taken from Ref. 23 show the changed profile in upsets around the orbit following this event, while figure 18, taken from Ref. 24, shows the radical changes in proton and electron profiles before and after the event.

Figure 17a. SEU frequency for 35 proton-sensitive devices for the first 585 orbits (25 July 1990 to 22 March 1991) of CRRES are shown as a function of L-shell. The peak at L=1.5 coincides with the heart of the inner radiation belt (Ref. 23).

Figure 17b. As above but for the 141 orbits following the solar-proton event of 23-29 March 1991. The creation of a second proton belt leads to a peak at L=2.3 to 2.5.

APPENDIX B
EFFECTS ON SPACECRAFT AND AIRCRAFT ELECTRONICS
MR. CLIVE DYER
3.4 Background Noise in Sensors

Enhanced background rates in SOHO and IRAS detectors due to cosmic rays, spacecraft secondaries and solar particle events are discussed elsewhere in these proceedings. Gamma-ray and X-ray detectors are particularly sensitive to background including delayed events from induced radioactivity (Refs.26&27). Figure 19 shows the predicted enhanced emission of gamma rays from the XMM spacecraft during a solar particle event. These interact with the CCD detectors to give increased background counts in the instrument bandwidth (Ref. 27).

![Figure 19. Solar-proton induced gamma-ray emissions in XMM](image)

3.5 Spacecraft Charging

Numerous anomalies have occurred from both surface and deep dielectric charging. Some of these have proved fatal (e.g. ANIK E1), while the more numerous, non-fatal anomalies enable the variations with Space Weather to be seen. The environmental parameters influencing charging have been reviewed in Ref. 28 from which the following figures are taken.

![Figure 20. MARECS-A Anomalies vs year and local time](image)

MARECS-A is a classic case of surface charging, as illustrated in figure 20 where anomalies can be seen to cluster during midnight to 0600 local time due to the eastwards drift of the enhanced electrons in the magnetotail during geomagnetic substorms. Enhanced rates around solar maximum are also seen.
Space Weather variability makes predictions of effects difficult and the rates correlate with energetic electron enhancements in the outer radiation belt. Figure 21 illustrates the huge variability in the outer zone and the presence of a 27-day recurrence period from fast solar wind streams. For this phenomenon there is evidence for enhanced rates towards solar minimum.

4. DISCUSSION

Cosmic radiation is responsible for single event effects in electronics and background noise in sensor systems. Production of atmospheric secondaries gives effects in aircraft systems and even in sea level electronics. The intensity is modulated in antiphase with the solar cycle and can undergo short term reductions due to solar wind variations.

Solar particle events are less energetic but more intense and can lead to greatly increased rates of SEE and noise as well as to significant dose and damage. The more energetic events can penetrate the atmosphere and provide significant enhancements in the radiation at supersonic aircraft altitudes. Prediction of their intensity, energy and composition is a challenge and this is further complicated by the influence of geomagnetic disturbances on their penetration of the magnetosphere.

The inner radiation belt comprises energetic protons and electrons and leads to dose, damage, noise and SEE. For most Low Earth Orbit situations the South Atlantic Anomaly region dominates and this is influenced by long term geomagnetic field evolution and by variations in the upper atmosphere density driven by solar radiation on both solar cycle and short term timescales.

The outer radiation belt comprises energetic electrons, which are highly dynamic and are driven by geomagnetic disturbances related to fast solar wind streams and coronal mass ejections. The prediction of cumulative dose and damage effects is thus complicated, while the large increases result in deep dielectric charging which is responsible for numerous anomalies and some losses. In addition geomagnetic disturbances produce less energetic plasma populations in the magnetotail and these have led to numerous surface charging anomalies.

The slot region can fill with energetic protons and electrons following certain geomagnetic disturbances and this leads to enhanced effects in certain orbits.

Space Weather variability makes predictions of effects difficult while future systems are likely to be more vulnerable due to use of higher performance digital electronics of increasing sensitivity. In addition there will be a decreasing supply of radhard components which were traditionally made available through military programmes. There is clearly a strong need for an active programme in Space Weather modelling, monitoring and prediction in order to ensure long-life, cost effective systems in Space and the upper atmosphere.
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